## § 7.4005

assist persons in completing application forms and related documents to open a deposit account. A DPO is not a branch within the meaning of 12 U.S.C. 36(j) and 12 CFR 5.30(d)(1) so long as it does not receive deposits, pay withdrawals, or make loans. All deposit and withdrawal transactions of a bank customer using a DPO must be performed by the customer, either in person at the main office or a branch office of the bank, or by mail, electronic transfer, or a similar method of transfer.

(b) Services of other persons. A national bank may use the services of, and compensate, persons not employed by the bank in its deposit production activities.

[64 FR 60100, Nov. 4, 1999]

## §7.4005 Combination of loan production office, deposit production office, and remote service unit.

A location at which a national bank operates a loan production office (LPO), a deposit production office (DPO), and a remote service unit (RSU) is not a "branch" within the meaning of 12 U.S.C. 36(j) by virtue of that combination. Since an LPO, DPO, or RSU is not, individually, a branch under 12 U.S.C. 36(j), any combination of these facilities at one location does not create a branch.

[64 FR 60100, Nov. 4, 1999]

## §7.4006 [Reserved]

## § 7.4007 Deposit-taking.

- (a) Authority of national banks. A national bank may receive deposits and engage in any activity incidental to receiving deposits, including issuing evidence of accounts, subject to such terms, conditions, and limitations prescribed by the Comptroller of the Currency and any other applicable Federal law.
- (b) Applicability of state law. A national bank may exercise its deposit-taking powers without regard to state law limitations concerning:
- (1) Abandoned and dormant accounts;<sup>3</sup>
- <sup>3</sup>This does not apply to state laws of the type upheld by the United States Supreme Court in *Anderson Nat'l Bank v. Luckett*, 321 U.S. 233 (1944), which obligate a national

- (2) Checking accounts;
- (3) Disclosure requirements;
- (4) Funds availability;
- (5) Savings account orders of withdrawal;
- (6) State licensing or registration requirements (except for purposes of service of process); and
  - (7) Special purpose savings services; 4
- (c) State laws that are not preempted. State laws on the following subjects are not inconsistent with the deposit-taking powers of national banks and apply to national banks to the extent consistent with the decision of the Supreme Court in Barnett Bank of Marion County, N.A. v. Nelson, Florida Insurance Commissioner, et al. 517 U.S. 25 (1996):
  - (1) Contracts;
  - (2) Torts;
  - (3) Criminal law; 5
  - (4) Rights to collect debts;
- (5) Acquisition and transfer of property:
  - (6) Taxation;
  - (7) Zoning; and
- (8) Any other law that the OCC determines to be applicable to national banks in accordance with the decision of the Supreme Court in Barnett Bank of Marion County, N.A. v. Nelson, Florida Insurance Commissioner, et al. 517 U.S. 25 (1996), or that is made applicable by Federal law.

[69 FR 1916, Jan. 13, 2004, as amended at 76 FR 43565, July 21, 2011]

bank to "pay [deposits] to the persons entitled to demand payment according to the law of the state where it does business." *Id.* at 248-249.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>State laws purporting to regulate national bank fees and charges are addressed in 12 CFR 7.4002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>But see the distinction drawn by the Supreme Court in *Easton v. Iowa*, 188 U.S. 220, 238 (1903), where the Court stated that "[u]ndoubtedly a state has the legitimate power to define and punish crimes by general laws applicable to all persons within its jurisdiction \* \* \*. But it is without lawful power to make such special laws applicable to banks organized and operating under the laws of the United States." *Id.* at 239 (holding that Federal law governing the operations of national banks preempted a state criminal law prohibiting insolvent banks from accepting deposits).